arXiv:1205.1017v2 [math-ph] 31 May 2012

The existence of Bogomolny decomposition for gauged restricted baby Skyrme model

Ł. T. Stępień

The Pedagogical University of Cracow, ul. Podchorążych 2, 30-084 Kraków, Poland, e-mail: sfstepie@cyf-kr.edu.pl, stepien50@poczta.onet.pl

Abstract

We derive the Bogomolny decomposition (Bogomolny equations) for gauged restricted baby Skyrme model (also called, as gauged: pure or extreme baby Skyrme model), in (2+0) dimensions, and the condition of existence of this Bogomolny decomposition, by using so called, concept of strong necessary conditions.

Comments: 11 pages; supplemented abstract; improved text; corrected some editorial bugs; in the reference [10]: "A. Wereszczyński *et al* , (2012), *Preprint arXiv* - to appear", replaced by: "C. Adam, C. Naya, J. Sanchez-Guillen and A. Wereszczyński, (2012), *Preprint arXiv:hep-th/1205.1532v1*"; added sections "Acknowledgements" and "Computational resources".

1 Introduction

The baby Skyrme model appeared firstly as an analogical model (on plane) to the Skyrme model in three-dimensional space. Since the target space of Skyrme model is $SU(2)$, [1], [2], [3], then for baby Skyrme model the target space is S^2. In these both models static field configurations can be classified topologically by their winding numbers. Analogically to the Skyrme model, the baby Skyrme model includes: the quadratic term i.e. the term of nonlinear $O(3)$ sigma model, the quartic term - analogue of the Skyrme term and the potential. The presence of the potential, in baby Skyrme model, is necessary, for existence of static solutions with finite energy. However, the classes of the potentials may here be wide and different forms of them were investigated recently, for e.g. in [4], [5], [6], [7]. Bogomolny bound and Bogomolny equations for gauged sigma model were derived in [8]. In [9] Bogomolny bound and Bogomolny equations for gauged full baby Skyrme model were obtained. In both papers [8] and [9], some topologically non-trivial soliton solutions of derived Bogomolny equations were obtained. The lagrangian of the mentioned gauged full baby Skyrme model in (2+1)-dimensions, has the form, [9]

$$\mathcal{L} = D_\mu \vec{S} \cdot D^\mu \vec{S} + \frac{\lambda^2}{4}(D^\mu \vec{S} \times D^\nu \vec{S})^2 + (1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{S}) + F_{\mu\nu}^2, \tag{1}$$

where $\vec{S}$ is three-component vector field, such that $\mid \vec{S} \mid^2 = 1$, $\lambda > 0$ is a coupling constant, $D_\mu \vec{S} = \partial_\mu \vec{S} + A_\mu(\vec{n} \times \vec{S})$ is the covariant derivative of vector field $\vec{S}$, $F_{\mu\nu}$ is field strength, called also as the curvature and $\vec{n} = [0, 0, 1]$ is an unit vector and $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2$. The baby Skyrme model has simpler structure, than three-dimensional Skyrme model and so it can give an opportunity of better understanding of the solutions of Skyrme model in (3+1)-dimensions. However, on the other hand, even in the ungauged version, it is still complicated, non-integrable, topologically non-trivial and nonlinear field theory. Because of this reason, it is difficult to make analytical studies of this model and so, the investigations of baby Skyrmions have very often numerical character. Therefore, the simplification, but of course, keeping us in the class of Skyrme-like models and simultaneosuly, giving an opportunity for analytical calculations, is important. One may, for example, try to define, which features of the solutions of the baby Skyrme model, are determined by which part of the model. So, one could neglect some particular part of the Lagrangian and so, investigate such simplified model. One may also simplify the problem of solving of field equations, by deriving Bogomolny equations (sometimes called as Bogomol'nyi equations) for these models, mentioned above. All solutions of Bogomolny equations satisfy Euler-Lagrange equations, which order is bigger than the order of Bogomolny equations. Bogomolny equations for ungauged restricted baby Skyrme model with the special form of $V = V(S^3)$ were derived in [6].

In [13] Bogomolny decompositions for both ungauged models: restricted and full baby Skyrme one, was derived. There was also showed that in the case of ungauged restricted baby Skyrme model, Bogomolny decomposition existed for arbitrary potential (in [7] Bogomolny equations had been obtained for the potential being a square of some non-negative function with isolated zeroes, but by another way than used in [13]). Next, in [13], it was also showed that for the case of ungauged full baby Skyrme model, the set of the solutions of corresponding Bogomolny equations was some subset of the set of the solutions of Bogomolny equations for ungauged restricted baby Skyrme model.
The Bogomolny equations for gauged full baby Skyrme model in (2+0)-dimensions, but for some special form of the potential, was derived in [9], by using the technique, firstly applied by Bogomolny in [12], among others, for the nonabelian gauge theory. This method is based on proper separation of the terms in the functional of energy. The solutions of Bogomolny equations, found in this way, minimalize the energy functional and saturate Bogomolny bound i.e. an inequality connecting energy functional and topological charge.

In this paper we derive Bogomolny equations (we call them as Bogomolny decomposition) for the gauged restricted baby Skyrme model, in (2+0)-dimensions. It is characterized by absence of $O(3)$ term in (1). In this current paper we investigate the case of the potentials of the form $V(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{S})$.

In [10] the Bogomolny equations for the gauged restricted baby Skyrme model, in (2+0)-dimensions, but for the potentials of the form $V(S^3)$, have been derived and some non-trivial solutions of these equations have been obtained. However, in contrary to [10], we derive Bogomolny equations (we call them as Bogomolny decomposition), by applying so called, concept of strong necessary conditions, firstly presented in [14] and extended in [15], [16], [17]. We derive also the condition, which must be satisfied by the potentials of the form $V(1-\vec{n}\cdot\vec{S})$, for which Bogomolny decomposition exists.

The procedure of deriving of Bogomolny decomposition from the extended concept of strong necessary conditions, has been presented in [17], [18] and developed in [19].

This paper is organized, as follows. In the next subsections of this section we shortly describe gauged restricted baby Skyrme model and the concept of strong necessary conditions. In the section 2, we derive Bogomolny decomposition for the gauged restricted baby Skyrme model, by using the concept of strong necessary conditions. Section 3 contains a summary.

1.1 Gauged restricted baby Skyrme model

The lagrangian of gauged restricted baby Skyrme model with the potential $V = (1-\vec{n}\cdot\vec{S})$, follows from the Lagrange density of gauged full baby Skyrme model (1), when the $O(3)$-like term is absent

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\lambda^2}{4}(D_\mu\vec{S}\times D_\nu\vec{S})^2 + F_{\mu\nu}^2 + (1-\vec{n}\cdot\vec{S}), \tag{2}$$

where $\vec{S}$ is three-component vector, such that $\mid \vec{S} \mid^2 = 1$ and $D_\mu\vec{S} = \partial_\mu\vec{S} + A_\mu(\vec{n}\times\vec{S})$ is covariant derivative of vector field $\vec{S}$.

In this paper we consider gauged restricted baby Skyrme model in (2+0) dimensions, with the energy functional of the following form

$$H = \frac{1}{2}\int d^2x\ \mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}\int d^2x\left(\frac{\lambda^2}{4}(\epsilon_{ij}D_i\vec{S}\times D_j\vec{S})^2 + F_{\mu\nu}^2 + \gamma^2 V(1-\vec{n}\cdot\vec{S})\right), \tag{3}$$

where $x_1 = x,\ x_2 = y$ and $i,j = 1,2$. We make the stereographic projection

$$\vec{S} = \left[\frac{\omega+\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}, \frac{-i(\omega-\omega^*)}{1+\omega\omega^*}, \frac{1-\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}\right], \tag{4}$$

where $\omega = \omega(x,y) \in \mathbb{C}$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then, the density of energy functional (3) has the form

$$\begin{gathered}\mathcal{H} = 4\lambda_1 \frac{[i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})]^2}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^4} + \\ \lambda_2(A_{2,x} - A_{1,y})^2 + V\left(\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}\right),\end{gathered} \tag{5}$$

where after rescalling, the constants λ_1, λ_2 have been appeared, instead of λ and γ has been included in $V(1 - \vec{n}\cdot\vec{S}) = V\left(\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}\right)$ and $\omega_{,x} \equiv \frac{\partial\omega}{\partial x}$, etc.

The Euler-Lagrange equations for this model are, as follows

$$\begin{gathered}\frac{d}{dx}[N_1(i\omega^*_{,y} + A_2\omega^*)] + \frac{d}{dy}[N_1(-i\omega^*_{,x} - A_1\omega^*)] + \frac{1}{4\lambda_1}N_1^2\omega^*(1+\omega\omega^*)^3 - \\ N_1(-A_1\omega^*_{,y} + A_2\omega^*_{,x}) - V'\left(\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}\right)\frac{2\omega^*}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2} = 0, \\ c.c. \\ -2\lambda_2\frac{d}{dy}(A_{2,x} - A_{1,x}) + N_1\cdot(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) = 0 \\ 2\lambda_2\frac{d}{dx}(A_{2,x} - A_{1,x}) - N_1\cdot(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x}) = 0\end{gathered} \tag{6}$$

where $N_1 = \frac{8\lambda_1}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^4}[i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})]$.

1.2 The concept of strong necessary conditions

The idea of the concept of strong necessary conditions is such that instead of considering of the Euler-Lagrange equations,

$$F_{,u} - \frac{d}{dx}F_{,u_{,x}} - \frac{d}{dt}F_{,u_{,t}} = 0, \tag{7}$$

following from the extremum principle, applied to the functional

$$\Phi[u] = \int_{E^2} F(u, u_{,x}, u_{,t})\ dxdt, \tag{8}$$

we consider strong neecessary conditions, [14], [15], [16], [17]

$$F_{,u} = 0, \tag{9}$$

$$F_{,u_{,t}} = 0, \tag{10}$$

$$F_{,u_{,x}} = 0, \tag{11}$$

where $F_{,u} \equiv \frac{\partial F}{\partial u}$, etc.

Obviously, all solutions of the system of the equations (9) - (11) satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equation (7). However, these solutions, if they exist, are very often trivial. So, in order to avoiding such situation, we make gauge transformation of the functional (8)

$$\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + Inv, \tag{12}$$

where Inv is such functional that its local variation with respect to $u(x,t)$ vanishes: $\delta Inv \equiv 0$.

Owing to this feature, the Euler-Lagrange equations (7) and the Euler-Lagrange equations resulting from requiring of the extremum of $\Phi + Inv$, are equivalent. On the other hand, the strong necessary conditions (9) - (11) are not invariant with respect to the gauge transformation (12) and so, we may expect to obtain non-trivial solutions. Let us note that the strong necessary conditions (9) - (11) constitute the system of the partial differential equations of the order less than the order of Euler-Lagrange equations (7).

2 Bogomolny decomposition of gauged restricted baby Skyrme model

Now, we apply the concept of strong necessary conditions to the functional (3), in order to find Bogomolny decomposition.

The important step is to construct topological invariant for the case of the topology of this model. The construction of the topological invariant was given in [8], [11]

$$I_1 = \vec{S} \cdot D_1\vec{S} \times D_2\vec{S} + F_{12}(1 - \vec{n} \cdot \vec{S}), \tag{13}$$

where $D_i\vec{S} = \partial_i\vec{S} + A_i\vec{n} \times \vec{S}$, $(i = 1, 2)$, is covariant derivative of vector field $\vec{S}$ and $F_{12} = \partial_1 A_2 - \partial_2 A_1$ is magnetic field.

After making the stereographic projection (4), we have:

$$I_1 = \frac{1}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2}[2(i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x}))] + \frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}(A_{2,x} - A_{1,y}). \tag{14}$$

As it will turn out, it is useful to generalize the above expression such that there by the term $A_{2,x} - A_{1,y}$, some function of the argument $\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}$ may be placed

$$I_1 = \lambda_4 \Big\{ \frac{1}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2} [2G_1' \cdot (i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + \\ A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x}))] + G_1 \cdot (A_{2,x} - A_{1,y}) \Big\}, \tag{15}$$

where $\lambda_4 = const, G_1 = G_1(\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*})$ and G_1' denotes the derivative of the function G_1 with respect to its argument: $\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}$.

We make the following gauge transformation

$$\mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}} = 4\lambda_1 \frac{[i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})]^2}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^4} + \\ \lambda_2(A_{2,x} - A_{1,y})^2 + V\left(\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{3} I_k, \tag{16}$$

where I_1 is given by (15), $I_2 = D_x G_2(\omega, \omega^*), I_3 = D_y G_3(\omega, \omega^*), D_x \equiv \frac{d}{dx}, D_y \equiv \frac{d}{dy}$ and $G_k \in \mathcal{C}^2$, $(k = 1, 2, 3)$, are some functions, which are to be determined.

After applying the concept of strong necessary conditions to (16), we obtain the so-called dual equations

$$\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{,\omega} = -16\lambda_1 \frac{[i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})]^2\omega^*}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^5} + \\
\frac{8\lambda_1[i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})]}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^4}(-A_1\omega^*_{,y} + A_2\omega^*_{,x}) + \\
V'\left(\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}\right)\frac{2\omega^*}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2} + \\
\lambda_4\Bigg\{\frac{1}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2}[G_1''\frac{4\omega^*}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2}(i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + \\
A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x}))] + \frac{2G_1'(-A_1\omega^*_{,y} + A_2\omega^*_{,x})}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2} - \\
\frac{1}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^3}[4G_1'(i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \\
\omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x}))\omega^*] + G_1'\frac{2\omega^*}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2}(A_{2,x} - A_{1,y})\Bigg\} + \\
D_xG_{2,\omega} + D_yG_{3,\omega} = 0,
\end{gathered} \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{,\omega^*} = -16\lambda_1 \frac{[i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})]^2\omega}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^5} + \\
\frac{8\lambda_1[i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})]}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^4}(-A_1\omega_{,y} + A_2\omega_{,x}) + \\
V'\left(\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}\right)\frac{2\omega}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2} + \\
\lambda_4\Bigg\{\frac{1}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2}[G_1''\frac{4\omega}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2}(i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + \\
A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x}))] + \frac{2G_1'(-A_1\omega_{,y} + A_2\omega_{,x})}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2} - \\
\frac{1}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^3}[4G_1'(i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \\
\omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x}))\omega] + G_1'\frac{2\omega}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2}(A_{2,x} - A_{1,y})\Bigg\} + \\
D_xG_{2,\omega^*} + D_yG_{3,\omega^*} = 0
\end{gathered} \tag{18}$$

$$\begin{gathered}
\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{,\omega_{,x}} = \frac{8\lambda_1[i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})]}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^4}(i\omega^*_{,y} + A_2\omega^*) + \\
\frac{2\lambda_4G_1'(i\omega^*_{,y} + A_2\omega^*)}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2} + G_{2,\omega} = 0,
\end{gathered} \tag{19}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{,\omega_{,y}} = \frac{8\lambda_1[i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})]}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^4}(-i\omega^*_{,x} - A_1\omega^*) + \frac{2\lambda_4 G_1'(-i\omega^*_{,x} - A_1\omega^*)}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2} + G_{3,\omega} = 0, \tag{20}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{,\omega^*_{,x}} = \frac{8\lambda_1[i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})]}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^4}(-i\omega_{,y} + A_2\omega) + \frac{2\lambda_4 G_1'(-i\omega_{,y} + A_2\omega)}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2} + G_{2,\omega^*} = 0, \tag{21}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{,\omega^*_{,y}} = \frac{8\lambda_1[i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})]}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^4}(i\omega_{,x} - A_1\omega) + \frac{2\lambda_4 G_1'(i\omega_{,x} - A_1\omega)}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2} + G_{3,\omega^*} = 0, \tag{22}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{,A_1} = \frac{8\lambda_1[i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})]}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^4}(-\omega_{,y}\omega^* - \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + \frac{2\lambda_4 G_1'(-\omega_{,y}\omega^* - \omega\omega^*_{,y})}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2} = 0, \tag{23}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{,A_2} = \frac{8\lambda_1[i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})]}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^4}(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x}) + \frac{2\lambda_4 G_1'(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})}{(1+\omega\omega^*)^2} = 0, \tag{24}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{,A_{1,y}} = -2\lambda_2(A_{2,x} - A_{1,y}) - \lambda_4 G_1\left(\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}\right) = 0, \tag{25}$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{,A_{2,x}} = 2\lambda_2(A_{2,x} - A_{1,y}) + \lambda_4 G_1\left(\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}\right) = 0, \tag{26}$$

where G_1', G_1'' denote the derivatives of the function G_1 with respect to its argument: $\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}$.

Now, we must make the equations (17) - (26) self-consistent.

In this order, we need to reduce the number of independent equations by a proper choice of the functions $G_k, (k = 1, 2, 3)$. Usually, such ansatzes exist only for some special V and in most cases of V for many nonlinear field models, it is impossible to reduce the system of corresponding dual equations, to Bogomolny equations. However, even at that time, such system can be used to derive at least some particular set of solutions of Euler-Lagrange equations.

Now, we consider $\omega, \omega^*, A_i, (i = 1, 2), G_k, \ (k = 1, 2, 3)$, as equivalent dependent variables, governed by the system of equations (17) - (26). We make two operations (similar operations were made firstly in [13], for the cases of ungauged baby Skyrme models: full and restricted one).

Namely, as we see, after putting:

$$G_1' = -\frac{4\lambda_1[i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})]}{\lambda_4(1+\omega\omega^*)^2}, \quad (27)$$

$$A_{2,x} - A_{1,y} = -\frac{\lambda_4}{2\lambda_2} G_1\left(\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}\right), \quad (28)$$

$$G_2 = const, \quad G_3 = const, \quad (29)$$

the equations (19)-(26) become the tautologies and we have the candidate for Bogomolny decomposition:

$$\frac{4\lambda_1[i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})]}{\lambda_4(1+\omega\omega^*)^2} = -G_1', \quad (30)$$

$$2\lambda_2(A_{2,x} - A_{1,y}) + \lambda_4 G_1\left(\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}\right) = 0. \quad (31)$$

Now, we must check, when the equations (17)-(18) are satisfied, if (30)-(31) hold. Thus, we insert (27)-(29) into (17)-(18). We get the system of ordinary differential equations for V and the solution of it is:

$$V = \frac{\lambda_4^2}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}(G_1')^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_2}G_1^2\right). \quad (32)$$

So, we obtain Bogomolny decomposition for gauged restricted baby Skyrme model in (2+0) dimensions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4\lambda_1[i(\omega_{,x}\omega^*_{,y} - \omega_{,y}\omega^*_{,x}) - A_1(\omega_{,y}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,y}) + A_2(\omega_{,x}\omega^* + \omega\omega^*_{,x})]}{\lambda_4(1+\omega\omega^*)^2} &= -G_1', \\ A_{2,x} - A_{1,y} &= -\frac{\lambda_4}{2\lambda_2} G_1\left(\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

for the potential $V(\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*})$, satisfying

$$V = \frac{\lambda_4^2}{4}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}(G_1')^2 + \frac{1}{\lambda_2}G_1^2\right), \tag{34}$$

where $G_1 = G_1\left(\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*}\right) \in \mathcal{C}^2$.

3 Summary

We applied the concept of strong necessary conditions for gauged restricted baby Skyrme model in (2+0)-dimensions. In result, we obtained Bogomolny decomposition (33), i.e. Bogomolny equations, for this model, for the class of the potentials $V(\frac{2\omega\omega^*}{1+\omega\omega^*})$. We derived also the condition of existence of this Bogomolny decomposition, this condition has the form (34).

4 Acknoweledgements

The author thanks to Dr Hab. A. Wereszczyński for interesting discussions about gauged restricted baby Skyrme model, carried out in 2010. The author thanks also to Dr Z. Lisowski for some interesting remarks.

5 Computational resources

The computations were carried out by using WATERLOO MAPLE Software on computer "mars" in ACK-CYFRONET AGH in Kraków. This research was supported in part by PL-Grid Infrastructure, too.

References

[1] T. H. R. Skyrme, (1961) *Proc. Roy. Soc. London* A **260**, 127.

[2] T. H. R. Skyrme, (1962) *Nucl.Phys.* **31**, 556.

[3] T. H. R. Skyrme, (1971) *J. Math. Phys.* **12**, 1735.

[4] M. Karliner and I. Hen, (2008) *Nonlinearity*, **21**, 399.

[5] C. Adam, P. Klimas, J. Sanchez-Guillen and A. Wereszczyński, (2009), *Phys. Rev. D*, **80**, 105013.

[6] C. Adam, T. Romańczukiewicz, J. Sanchez-Guillen and A. Wereszczyński, (2010), *Phys. Rev. D*, **81**, 085007.

[7] J. M. Speight, (2010) *J. Phys. A*, **43**, 405201.

[8] B. J. Schroers, (1995), *Phys. Lett.* B **356**, 291 (also *arXiv:hep-th/9506004*).

[9] J. Gladikowski, B. M. A. G. Piette and B. J. Schroers, (1996), *Phys. Rev. D* **53**, 844. (also: *arXiv:hep-th/9506099v1*).

[10] C. Adam, C. Naya, J. Sanchez-Guillen and A. Wereszczyński, (2012), *Preprint arXiv:hep-th/1205.1532v1.*

[11] Y. Yang, *Solitons in Field Theory and Nonlinear Analysis*, (2001), (Springer).

[12] E. B. Bogomolny, (1976), *Sov. J. Nucl. Phys.* **24**, 861.

[13] Ł. T. Stępień, (2012), *Preprint arXiv:math-ph/1204.6194v1* .

[14] K. Sokalski, (1979), *Acta Phys. Pol. A* **56**, 571.

[15] K. Sokalski, T. Wietecha and Z. Lisowski, (2001), *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **32**, 17.

[16] K. Sokalski, T. Wietecha and Z. Lisowski, (2001), *Acta Phys. Pol. B* **32**, 2771.

[17] K. Sokalski, Ł. Stępień and D. Sokalska, (2002), *J. Phys. A* **35**, 6157.

[18] Ł. Stępień, (2003), *Bogomolny decomposition in the context of the concept of strong necessary conditions*, Ph.D. thesis, Jagiellonian University, Kraków.

[19] Ł. Stępień, D. Sokalska and K. Sokalski, (2009), *J. Nonl. Math. Phys.* **16**, 25.